5



### IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON

FOR MULTNOMAH COUNTY

THE STATE OF OREGON.

Multnomah County, Oregon No. C 18-CR-59251

Plaintiff,

DA 2388144-1

STATE'S MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF PROTECTIVE ORDER AND ORDER TO SEAL

NANCY LEE CRAMPTON-BROPHY

٧.

Defendant.

COMES NOW ROD UNDERHILL, District Attorney for Multnomah County, by and through his deputie, Shawn Overstreet, respectfully requests the court issue an order pursuant to ORS 135.873 protecting documents pertaining to the discovery in this case.

#### I. FACTS

That on June 2, 2018 Daniel Brophy left his home and arrived at his workplace, the Oregon Culinary Institute (OCI), located at 1701 SW Jefferson St. Daniel disarmed the alarm for the building at 7:21 am and was the only person in the building. At 7:08 am Nancy Brophy, Daniel's wife, is seen on surveillance video driving her Toyota mini-van Westbound on Jefferson Street directly in front of the OCI building. Nancy is seen again leaving the area of OCI, again going Westbound on Jefferson Street at 7:28 am. Daniel's co-worker arrived at 7:30am, but did not discover Daniel's body until she allowed students to enter the building around 8:00am. Daniel's body was located in the rear kitchen by students as they entered the building. Daniel had been shot two times, once in the back and once in the chest. The medical examiner concluded that both bullets could have been the one that killed Daniel as both pierced his heart. Detectives conducted a thorough search of the OCI and determined there were no signs of force or struggle. There were also no signs of motive regarding robbery, assault, or other crime. Daniel was still in possession of

Verified Correct Copy of Original 4/19/2019.

10 11

8

9

12

14

13

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

12

8

15

23

24

25

his wallet (nothing missing), his cellular phone, eyeglasses, and car keys. His vehicle was in front of the OCI building and also had not been disturbed.

Nancy Brophy arrived at the OCI driving the same Toyota mini-van seen in the surveillance video shortly after detectives arrived. Nancy stated that she had been at home that morning and had not left until called about an incident at OCI. She gave a timeline of when Daniel had left the house, but claimed she remained at home. Nancy claimed that Daniel did not have any enemies and could not think of anyone who would want to hurt him. When asked if Daniel might bring a gun to work to protect himself, Nancy stated that she had recently purchased a Glock 9mm handgun, but neither her or Daniel had used it. I know that Leland Samuelson at the Oregon State crime lab has analyzed the bullets recovered from Daniel's body and the shell casings that were found on scene and determined they were most likely shot from a Glock 9mm firearm. Nancy allowed detectives to go to her home and retrieve the firearm and Leland Samuelson was able to determine that the firearm Nancy relinquished is not likely the firearm that shot and killed Daniel. On or about June 5, 2018 Nancy called lead Detective Darren Posey and requested a letter stating that she was not a suspect in Daniel's homicide so that she could provide it to her life insurance company. Nancy stated that she had a policy for Daniel valued at \$40,000.00. Detectives declined to furnish the letter and later learned from several insurance carriers that Nancy is the beneficiary on several policies valued at over \$350,000.00. Detectives also learned that Nancy worked in the insurance industry and has actually sold life insurance policies in the past.

During a search of Daniel's phone detectives discovered that Daniel and Nancy had a joint Itunes account and detectives know that web pages that are bookmarked by one account holder are accessible by another account holder. Detectives discovered a bookmarked article on the joint Itunes account titled "10 ways to cover up a murder."

Nancy Brophy was arrested on September 5, 2018 and as she was being arrested she asked "You're arresting me?" Then added "You must think I murdered my husband." Nancy never offered any explanation as to why she lied about her whereabouts on the morning that Daniel was

killed, nor did she explain why she was circling the OCI building at the time Daniel was killed. Nancy also never explained why she lied about how much life insurance money is available to her.

# II. LAW

ORS 135.873(2) allows a trial court at any time to order, upon a showing of good cause, that specified disclosures be denied, restricted, or deferred, or to make such other order as is appropriate. ORS 135.873(3) allows the court, upon request of any party, to permit the showing of good cause to be made in camera. Finally, ORS 135.873(4) states that if the court enters an order granting relief following a showing in camera, the entire record of the showing shall be sealed and preserved in the records of the court, to be made available to the appellate court in the event of an appeal. After the case has been concluded, the trial court may, in its discretion, unseal matters previously sealed.

### III. ANALYSIS

Pursuant to ORS 135.873, the state is requesting that this court issue a protective order that allows the defendant access to the discovery in this case only at his attorney's office and in the presence of his defense team. The state further requests that the protective order prevent the defendant from copying, printing, duplicating, electronically mailing, posting on the internet or other social media, or in any way reproducing, distributing, disseminating, or publishing the discovery in this case. Lastly, the state requests that the protective order limit the defense team from reproducing the discovery in any way except as is necessary for use as an exhibit or other submission to the court, or as necessary for trial notebooks, or other litigation preparation.

In this case, the evidence suggests that the defendant may have acted alone, but may have also acted with other potential co-defendants. Release of any discovery to the public would jeopardize the ongoing investigation.

The protective order that the state requests directly addresses these legitimate concerns without unduly burdening the defense team or the defendant's ability to prepare for trial. It merely

11

10

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

seeks to prevent the discovery from becoming public prior to the trial, as would be appropriate in any criminal case.

# III. CONCLUSION

Based on the above information, the state hereby requests that the court find good cause to restrict the use of the discovery in this case in accordance with the attached order. The protection order sought by the state in no way impedes the defendant's access to potentially inculpatory or exculpatory material, or materials potentially useful for trial preparation.

The state further asks, for the reasons outlined above and pursuant to ORS 135.873(4), that this memorandum and the accompanying protective order and affidavit remain sealed within the corresponding court file.

> ROD UNDERHILL District Attorney

Multnomah County, Oregon

By:

Shawn Overstreet, OSB 114859 Deputy District Attorney